"HSBC") MERSCORP, INC.; and MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. (collectively "MERS") pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1441, and 1446, hereby removes to this Court Case No. A-10-626320-C, currently pending in Department XXI of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada (the "Action"). The removal of this case is based on the following grounds.

I. Summary of Pleadings

On September 29, 2010, Plaintiffs KATHRYN E. WOLVERTON, DEBORAH GREENE, MICHAEL GREENE ("Plaintiffs") filed a Complaint, initiating the Action. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Plaintiffs also filed Motion for Preliminary Injunction and a Notice of Lis Pendens on September 29, 2010. See Exhibits B and C. On November 2, 2010 Plaintiffs filed an Ex Parte Motion to Vacate Order for Writ of Restitution and Motion for Temporary Restraining Order to Enjoin Action Now Pending and Proposed Order. See Exhibit D. Plaintiffs also filed a Notice of Bankruptcy on November 2, 2010. See Exhibit E. On November 3, 2010 Court denied the Motion for Preliminary Injunction. See Exhibit E. On November 3, 2010 Court denied the Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

II. Nature of Complaint

Plaintiff's Complaint alleges that the defendants engaged in deceptive practices. The causes of action asserted in the Complaint include: (1) Violation of Fair Housing Act-42 U.S.C. §3601 et seq.; (2) Violation of Unfair Lending Practices-NRS 598(D); (3) Violations of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act ("RESPA") 12 U.S.C. § 2601; (4) Violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act; (5) Deceptive Trade Practices; (6) Wrongful Foreclosure; (7) Conspiracy to Commit Fraud and Conversion; (8) Conspiracy to Commit Fraud Related to MERS System; (9) Unjust Enrichment; (10) Breach of Good Faith and Fair Dealing – HAMP; (11) Inspection and Accounting; (12) Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress; (13) Slander of Title; (14) Quiet Title; (15) Fraud in the Inducement; (16) Injunctive Relief; (17) Declaratory Relief; and (18) Rescission.

27 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

III. Statement of Jurisdiction

This Court has original jurisdiction of the Action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and is one which may be removed to this Court by HSBC and MERS pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1692 in that Plaintiffs allege violations of federal laws: (1) 42 U.S.C. § 3601 and (2) RESPA See Complaint, attached hereto as Exhibit A, ¶¶ 29 & 50.

This Court also has original jurisdiction of the Action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as complete diversity exists between the Plaintiffs and the Defendants, and the amount in controversy requirement is satisfied.

A. Complete Diversity Exists

Plaintiffs are residents and citizens of the State of Nevada. For diversity jurisdiction purposes, a national bank is a citizen of the state designated as its main office on its organization certificate. Wachovia Bank, N.A. v. Schmidt, 546 U.S. 303, 306 (2006). Accordingly, HSBC BANK USA, N.A is a citizen of Delaware – the state listed on HSBC's organization certificate. A corporation is deemed to be a citizen of any state in which it has been incorporated and of any state where it has its principal place of business. Defendant HSBC MORTGAGE CORP. USA is a citizen of Delaware, as it is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in that Defendants MERSCORP, INC., and MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. are citizens of Delaware and Virginia, as they are Delaware corporations with the principal places of business in Virginia. Upon information and belief, Defendants MORTGAGEIT SECURITIES CORP., a subsidiary of DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST SERIES 2007-1 and MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES are foreign corporations or entities, being incorporated outside the State of Nevada and having their principal places of business outside the State of Nevada. For removal purposes, the citizenship of Doe defendants is disregarded. 28 U.S.C. 1441(a).

B. The Amount in Controversy Requirement is Satisfied

The Action satisfies the amount in controversy requirement under 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Plaintiff is claiming monetary damages in an unspecified amount in addition to costs of suit. See, e.g., Prayer for Relief. The amount of damages plus the value of the real property exceeds 12214513.1

11.

\$75,000. The aggregate amount of Plaintiff's claims, therefore, satisfies the amount in controversy requirement.

IV. Timeliness of Removal

A notice of removal must be filed within 30 days after service of the pleading which makes the defendant become a party to the state action. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b). The thirty-day period for removal does not begin to run until a party has received a copy of the Complaint and been properly served. *See Murphy Brothers, Inc. v. Michetti Pipe Stringing, Inc.*, 526 U.S. 344, 347-48 (1999). Upon information and belief, Plaintiff served a copy of the summons and Complaint upon Defendant MERS on October 26, 2010. Therefore, this Notice of Removal is timely filed under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b) because it is filed within one year of the commencement of this action and within thirty days of the date MERS was served with the summons and complaint. No other defendants have appeared and no proof of service of any defendants has been filed.

V. Conclusion

Because HSBC and MERS have timely filed a notice of removal of an action for which this Court has original jurisdiction – based on federal question and diversity – the Action must be removed to this Court.

HSBC and MERS will also timely file a Notice of Removed Action in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit G.

///

23 | ///

Case 2:10-cv-01987-JCM-LRL Document 1 Filed 11/15/10 Page 5 of 6

	1	In filing this Notice of Removal, HSBC and MERS do not waive, and specifically reserve,		
Snell & Wilmer LLP. LAW OFFICES 1881 HOWARD HUGHES PARKWAY, SUITE 1100 LAS VECAS. NEVADA 89169 (702)784-5200	2	all defenses, exceptions, rights and motions. No statement herein or omission herefrom shall be		
	3	deemed to constitute an admission by HSBC or MERS of any of the allegations of or damages		
	4	sought in the Complaint.		
	5	Dated: November 15, 2010	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.	
	6			
	7	Ву:	/s/ Cynthia L. Alexander, Esq.	
	8		Cynthia L. Alexander, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6718	
	9		Cassie R. Stratford, Esq. Nevada Bar No.11288 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.	
	10		3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100	
	11		Las Vegas, NV 89169 Telephone 702.784.5281 Facsimile: 702.784.5252	
	12		Email: clalexander@swlaw.com Attorneys for Defendants HSBC BANK USA, N.A.;	
	13		HSBC MORTGAGE CORP. USA; MERSCORP, INC.; and MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC	
	14		REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.	
	15		:	
	16			
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			

Snell & Wilmer

LLR.—
LLR.—
LAW OFFICES
LOWARD HUGHES PARKWAY, SUITE 1100
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89169
(702)784-5200

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury, that I am over the age of eighteen (18) years, and I am not a party to, nor interested in, this action. On this date, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing **Petition for Removal** by the method indicated and addressed to the following:

U.S. Mail

Kathryn E. Wolverton Deborah Greene Michael Greene 4660 Polaris Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89103 Phone: (702) 683-5531 Fax: (702) 434-4036 Plaintiffs Pro Se

DATED this 15 day of November, 2010

An employee of Shell & Wilmer L.L.P.